

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/734,228	12/11/2000	Helmut Lucke	450117-02965 5435 EXAMINER		
20999	7590 03/08/2006				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			JACKSON, JAKIEDA R		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			2655		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/734,228	LUCKE, HELMUT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jakieda R. Jackson	2655		

	Jakieda R. Jackson	2000					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month- pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 16 and 41.33(a)).	TE below); educing or simplifying	the issues for				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 4-7-12 and 14-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered by 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented. So on of the status of the claims after	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(entry is below or attac	ils to provide a 1).				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:			mee because.				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues have been added requiring further consideration of the art under 103.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 14 applicants discloses the newly added limitations of a method of recognizing speech wherein said at least one series of words substaintially comprises at least one low-perplexity part which can be analyzed and recognized with a high degree of reliability, and remaining parts which are treated as high-perplexity parts, and wherein pragmatic information contained in said at least one low-perplexity part with respect to at least one of said high-perplexity parts may be used to explain said at least one of said high-perplexity parts.

Therefore, the proposed amendments will not be enetered.

3/5/00

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600